

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,130	11/13/2001	Orly Yadid-Pecht	56156628-2	6363
26453	7590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER & MCKENZIE			DANG, DUY M	
805 THIRD A NEW YORK,		ART UNI		PAPER NUMBER
,			2621	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/053,130	YADID-PECHT, ORLY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Duy M Dang	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Cepies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage. 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	and and an analysis and an	o. and document depicts not receive	~ ~.			
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🛛 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 5/22/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/053,130

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding-whether-there-is-a-need for consulting the full patent-text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

-In this case, instant abstract contains more than 240 words.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

It is noted that on page 8 lines 12-20 of the instant specification, it refers to "Figs. 2A to 2D. However, there is no such figures included in the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - (a) The following terms, for example, are considered lacking suitable antecedent basis.

-In claim 1, "the difference" in lines 16 and 25, "the block size" in line 17, "the following steps" in line 18, "the represented value of each sub-block" in line 22, "the stored represented value of each sub-block" in line 23, "the stored represented value of its adjacent sub-block" in line 24, "the represented value of the pixels" in line 28-29;

Application/Control Number: 10/053,130

Art Unit: 2621

-In claim 2, "the attribute value" in line 1; In claim 3, "the average value" in line 2; In claim 4, "the variance value" in line 2; In claim 5, "the standard deviation" in line 2; In claim 6, the "difference" and "the maximal" in line 2 and "the minimal" in line 3; In claim 7, "the stored represented value" in line 1; In claim 10, "the transmission" in line 4;

-In claim 11, "the portion" in line 5, and "the next group" in lines 6-7;

-In claim 12, "the analog value" in line 5, "the pixels attributes" in lines 5-6, "the difference" in lines 9-10, "the represented value" in lines 10 and 11, "the operations" in lines 18 and 19;

- -In claim 14, "the pixel's intensity" in lines 1-2; and
- -In claim 17, "the transmission" in line 4.

Dependent claims 2-11 and 13-18 are also rejected for the same reasons as above.

(b) The phrase "the said data storage bank" in claim 12 line 17 ought to be changed to "said data storage bank".

Applicant is advised to review and correct claim language in response to this Office Action.

- 5. Claims 1-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 1, the closest prior art [USPN 5,909,026] fails to teach or suggest the features of: defining a pixel attribute value that can be represented by an analogue value; determining a threshold level for said pixel attribute value; calculating a represented value for each block and storing said represented value; comparing the represented value of each block to

Application/Control Number: 10/053,130

Art Unit: 2621

the represented value of its adjacent blocks; whenever the difference between two adjacent compared blocks is greater then said threshold level and as long as the block size is larger than one pixel, performing the following steps: (1)dividing said adjacent compared blocks into subblocks, each of which containing A/2xB/2 pixels and storing data related to the division of said adjacent compared blocks into sub-blocks, 2) calculating the represented value for each subblock, and 3) comparing the stored represented value of each sub-block to the stored represented value of its adjacent sub-blocks; and whenever the difference between two adjacent compared blocks or sub-blocks is lower than, or equal to, said threshold level, representing said blocks or sub-blocks by representative pixels with identical attribute level which is equal to the represented value of the pixels that correspond to said compared block or sub-block, thereby compressing said image.

Dependent claims 2-11 are also allowable for the same reasons as above.

Regarding claim 12, the closest prior art [USPN 5,909,026] fails to teach or suggest the features of: sensor means for sensing the analog values defines as the pixels attributes; circuitry for calculating a represented value from said attributes for said group of pixels; a set of one or more comparators for comparing the difference between the represented value of said group of pixels and the represent value of another group of pixels, to a predetermined threshold value; circuitry for storing data that represent one or more adjacent groups of pixels, for which said difference is not greater than said represented value; and control circuitry for controlling data transfer between said two dimensional array and the said data storage bank, for controlling the operations said calculating circuitry, for controlling the operations of said set of one or more comparators and for controlling data transfer into said storage circuitry.

Art Unit: 2621

Dependent claims 13-18 are also allowable for the same reasons as above.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy M Dang whose telephone number is 703-305-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30AM to 2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo H Boudreau can be reached on 703-305-4706. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dmd 12/10/04

> Duy M. Dang Patent Examiner